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Purpose of PRR

- Review the results of the system verification processes
- Provide Final Cost information
- Demonstrate product to verify it meets the product design specifications
Mission Statement:

To design a lifting system for the Center for Applied Optics at UAHuntsville that will be able to properly and safely lift and lower two slides of a Moore 30” diameter Diamond Turning Machine, from their packaged state onto the machine. The system must be able to rotate the slides 180 degrees and set the slides down softly to avoid denting the precision burnished slide grooves.
Diamond Turning Machine
Product Design Specifications

- The lifting beam must be able to attach to a fork lift or a cherry picker like device.
- The device to which the beam is attached must be able to lower the beam slow enough not to damage the machine as well as mobile enough to be moved into the proper position.
- The beam must be able to support the weight of the slides as well as rotate them 360 degrees.
- There should be a factor of safety of at least 2 for all designed loads.
Design Drawings

- Spreader beam
- Extension plates
- Turning bar
- Slide Adapters
Design Drawings

Adapter bar

Adapter plate

Retaining clip holes

Turning bar
Design Drawings – C channel

Material 3 in. steel C channel (2 pcs)
All dimensions in inches.
Material: Mild Steel plate

All dimensions in inches

All Holes and slots 0.405 in dia.
for 3/8 bolt cir.
Design Drawings – Adapter bar

Material: 1018 CRS  All dimensions in inches

Ø 2.4  Ø .405  Ø .812
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Design Drawings - Ext. Plate

All dimensions in inches
Material: Hot rolled steel plate
Plasma cut to shape
Design Drawings - Upper Mts.

All dimensions in inches
Material: Rolled Steel Plate
All dimensions in inches
Material: Rolled plate steel
Design Drawings – Turning bar

Material: Mild Steel

All dimensions in inches
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Technical Analysis

Nastran FEA Results

These are the results for the simple 1-D analysis of just an I beam under two different load cases.

**Fork-truck loading**
- Maximum stress of 3760 psi compared to hand calculation of 3755 psi
- Percent diff. of 0.1%
- Maximum deformation of 0.025 in

**Engine hoist loading**
- Maximum stress of 4780 psi compared to hand calculation of 4769 psi
- Percent diff. of 0.2%
- Maximum deformation of 0.026 in
C-Channel Beam Rev. A

- A special Solid Edge Model was created to mimic the welded geometry in order to analyze the new spreader beam weldment.

- Rigid body elements were then used to constrain the large hole where the hook is placed and to apply forces to the holes in the lower mounts.
The green stresses of approx. 10 ksi near the hook hole are most likely near realistic values.

The RBE elements caused unrealistic high stress concentrations of 18 ksi at the nodes of application.

The med. Blue areas compare closely to the values obtained with the 1-D analysis.

500 lbs each
This model also had unrealistic high stress concentrations of 26 ksi where the 750 lb forces were applied by RBE elements. The med. Blue areas also compare closely to the values obtained with the 1-D analysis.
Mounting plates

- In order to better represent the loads imposed at the hole locations of the upper and lower mounting plates, separate FEAs were done using load application by pressure instead of point force methods.
- After meshing the model, the actual size of individual elements was used to create a pressure function that could be applied to the contact surfaces inside the holes.
- Both models were constrained by fixing the areas that were to be welded to the beam.
Technical Analysis

Typical Pressure Load Application
Realistic stress concentration patterns were obtained for a 1000 lb load. The max. stress was 6200 psi. Increasing the load to 2000 lb increased the stress to 12400 psi. Neither of these loadings take into account the stresses induced by bending.
Lower Mounts (Fork Lift Loading)

The 1000 lb load applied produced a max stress of 14400 psi in a realistic pattern. The F.S. for this piece was only 1.5. The thickness of this piece was subsequently increased from 0.25 in to 0.375 in.
Technical Analysis

Adapters

Max. Stresses of 18 ksi near bolt holes is not realistic because of fixed surface constraints.

Approx. Max Stress near weld areas is 6000 psi.
Extension Plates

The 8 point force loads mimic the plate resting on 8 spikes. Stresses in this area are unrealistic.

- Light blue stress range 1.72 ksi to 3.43 ksi
- Med blue stress range 3.43 ksi to 5.14 ksi

Approximate area of surface constraints

Sum total force of 750 lbs
Verification Tests

- Verification was performed using strain gauges and loading the beam in a controlled environment.
- The beam was progressively loaded to 1000 lbs, recording strain in critical locations determined from FEA analysis.
- A plot of load vs. strain was used to later find the weight of the slide.
- The curve obtained was also used to determine stress in the beam with our 2000 lb maximum load.
Hooke’s Laws were used to find stress from the strain recorded.

The reduced equation of $\sigma = E\varepsilon$ gave a maximum stress of 5000 psi along the top surface of the beam. This gives a factor of safety of $FS = \frac{Load_{Max}}{Load} = \frac{36000}{5000} = 7.2$

The weight found from the data for the large slide was 1130 lbs. This value is much lower than what was found using CAD modeling and is most likely incorrect since the load was applied at an angle in respect to the mounts on the beam during the tests.
Verification Tests

Hoop (Fork Truck)

\[ y = 0.0618x + 1.0477 \]

Beam Top (Fork Truck)

\[ y = 0.0769x + 6.9682 \]
Verification Tests

Strain Gauges

Loading the beam with 1000 pounds of stuff
Preparing Slides

Bolting Bearings to Slide
Preparing Machine

Cleaning Bearings

Pouring oil For slide channel
Lifting Slides

Slide Lifted and Rotated
Video
# Updated Cost Analysis

## Cost Analysis

### Materials Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design type</th>
<th>Material description</th>
<th>Price per unit</th>
<th>units</th>
<th>Retail Cost</th>
<th>Actual Cost</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Actual Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C channel Rev A</td>
<td>3 X 1-3/8 X 3/16 Steel Channel 6 ft. L</td>
<td>$28.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$57</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Metal Depot</td>
<td>Stephen Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/8 x 2ft x 2ft flat plate</td>
<td>$77.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$77</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Metal Depot</td>
<td>Hubbard and Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/8 slip hook (5400 lb rating)</td>
<td>$10.58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>Grainger</td>
<td>Grainger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/8 shackle (1700 lb rating)</td>
<td>$5.69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/8 x 16 x 1.25 in grade 8 bolts</td>
<td>$0.71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$172</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$38</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide Adapters</td>
<td>3/4 in steel round stock (4 ft)</td>
<td>$8.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Metal Depot</td>
<td>Hubbard and Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/8 quick clips for turning bar</td>
<td>$1.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>Lowe's</td>
<td>Lowe's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 in steel round stock (1 ft)</td>
<td>$27.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Metal Depot</td>
<td>Hubbard and Drake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hardware Cost

#### Fork lift Modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware description</th>
<th>Price per unit</th>
<th>units</th>
<th>Retail Cost</th>
<th>Actual Cost</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Actual Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation quality flow control</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Parker fittings</td>
<td>Uah Mach shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 NPT X 3/8 NPT 90° adapter</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipple 3/8 NPT</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic fluid (gal)</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>O’riellys</td>
<td>O’riellys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hex bushing 3/8 by 1/2 NPT</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$328</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$28</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Updated Cost Analysis Continued

### Labor Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor description</th>
<th>Labor rate</th>
<th>Man hours</th>
<th>Retail Cost</th>
<th>Actual Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering research</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering documentation</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$2,960</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary design</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$640</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEA analysis</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,680</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machining (adapter plates)</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling (turning bars)</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting and shaping of pieces</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding (interior spacers)</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$220</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing equipment turn radius</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$90</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift test of finished beam</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$90</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly of small slide</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly of large slide</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modifications of fork lift hydraulics</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Retail Cost</th>
<th>Actual Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>Channel beam (Design 4)</td>
<td>$10,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total (Hardware and beam)</td>
<td>$760</td>
<td>$71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This reflects the cost to manufacture the beam with only materials and labor costs.
Updated Manufacturing Processes

- Duration
  4 weeks (17 actual billable hours)
- Requirements
  Cutting, Semi-Complex Machining, Drilling, Fitting, Welding
- Assistance Required
  Hubbard & Drake, Team 1, Steve Collins
- Retail Cost - $1,500
- Team Cost - $0
Update Manufacturing Processes

- Manufacturing Location
  Hubbard & Drake General Mechanical Contractors
  P.O. Box 1867
  1002 5th Ave SE
  Decatur, Alabama 35602-1867
  256.353.9244
  www.hubbarddrake.com

- Initiation
  Wednesday – September 22, 2010
Problems and Solutions

**Problem 1:** Original design included chains to wrap around the slide adapters. Special thanks to Scotty Hubbard and Jim Wahoski for pointing out that the chains could become entangled and create a safety hazard.  
**Solution 1:** The chains have been changed to extension plates which will make the entire operation safer and easier.

**Problem 2:** Original fittings did not work on the Red lift.  
**Solution 2:** New fittings were obtained and used to add the flow control valve.
Lessons Learned

- The most important lesson the team learned was to listen to experienced people when they give advice.
- Scottie Hubbard’s advice to use the plates was given near the end of the previous 490 teams project.
- His advice was backed up this semester by Jim Wahoski, who also warned the team about using chains.
- This prompted the team to partially redesign their hardware. This became a big challenge to overcome and still stay on schedule.
Summary

• Going from chains to the extension plates was a positive.
• Fabrication of the assembly by Hubbard & Drake was quick and professional.
• Installation of the small slide had a few small hiccups that were resolved with time.
• Installation of the large slide went hiccup free after learning from our mistakes on the small slide.
• Team 1 is well pleased with the project outcome.
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