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ABSTRACT

Ice hockey is a sport prevalent with overuse injuries occurring throughout a season. These overuse injuries are commonly due to fatigue-related degradation of mechanics. There is a large variation in the technique used for ice hockey skating. The positioning of a hockey player while skating is vital because it can impact muscle activation. Improper positioning can cause increased activation in a particular muscle, which places more stress on that muscle. Over time this can lead to muscle fatigue and an increased likelihood of injury. PURPOSE: To measure muscle activation patterns and joint angle changes of the lower extremity in ice hockey players during three different simulated skating positions. METHODS: Electromyography sensors were placed on muscles of the quadriceps, hamstrings, and low back. Additionally, electrogoniometers were placed on the hip, knee, and ankle joints. Players performed 45-second trials on a slide board in three different positions: forward lean (FL), shin torso alignment (STA), and upright (UR). Muscle activation and joint angle differences were recorded and analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA with α = 0.05. RESULTS: Across the three positions, significant differences (p ≤ 0.029) were found for muscle activation in the posterior musculature: gluteus maximus and semitendinosus (FL > STA > UR) and the vastus medialis (VM) > vastus lateralis (VL) > semitendinosus (ST) > biceps femoris (BF). Large effect sizes were found for the vastus lateralis (η² = 0.214) and a medium effect size was found for the rectus femoris (η² = 0.061) across all positions. Significant differences were present for joint angles across trials. CONCLUSIONS: The FL exhibits greater posterior muscle activation and UR exhibits more anterior muscle activation. The STA skating position provides more of an equal distribution of muscle activation and could result in a reduction of fatigue-related injuries that may otherwise be present over an extended period of time.

INTRODUCTION

• Ice Hockey has many prevalent overuse injuries that commonly occur throughout a season, the most common being knee medial collateral ligament sprains and ankle sprains.
• One of the most important risk factors for these overuse injuries is improper biomechanical form. Anecdotally, arguments have been made that aligning the angle of the torso to be parallel to the angle of the shin is optimal from a performance and injury prevention standpoint.
• In this aligned position, there should be an equal distribution of musculature contraction on the anterior and posterior aspects of the thigh.
• The purpose of this study was to see how three different skating positions (forward lean, neutral, and upright) effect muscular activation in the lower body.
• It was hypothesized that there would be more balanced muscle activity in the lower extremity during a simulated skating stride when proper shin-torso alignment was used compared to the forward lean and upright skating positions.
• In the upright position, it was expected that the anterior musculature would be more activated and in the forward lean position, the posterior musculature would be more activated.

STUDY DESIGN & SUBJECTS

• Cross-sectional study design was utilized with Twenty male hockey players (21.63 ± 1.3 years, 177.53 ± 6.03 cm, and 80.82 ± 5.32 kg)

PROCEDURES

• Participants were assessed in three different skating positions (Forward Lean, Shin Torso Alignment, and Upright; Figure 3)
• Trials were 45 seconds on a slide board.
• Order of positioning was randomized, and the middle 15 seconds of each trial was analyzed for each subject.
• Surface Electromyography (EMG) sensors (which measure muscle activation) were placed on the rectus femoris (RF), vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), semitendinosus (ST), biceps femoris (BF), gluteus maximus (GM), erector spinae longissimus (ESL), and erector spinae iliaca (ESI).
• System used was DataLink Wireless Sensors and Systems. WS1800 (Biometrics Ltd, Newport, United Kingdom).

Figure 1. Biometric Ltd Equipment

Figure 2. Data Lite Output

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

• Normality was assessed with the Shapiro Wilk test.
• Repeated measures one-way ANOVA’s were utilized to analyze the data for normally distributed values: Mean ± S.D. andFL>STA>UR
• Friedman ANOVA was used for non-normally distributed values: medians reported and for effect size.
• All Variables analysed by SPSS (v23, SPSS Inc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Comparison</th>
<th>GM*</th>
<th>BF*</th>
<th>ST*</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>VL</th>
<th>VM*</th>
<th>STAL</th>
<th>ESI*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL STA UR</td>
<td>0.658 ± 0.428</td>
<td>0.592 ± 0.393</td>
<td>0.550 ± 0.392</td>
<td>0.586 ± 0.411</td>
<td>0.649 ± 0.392</td>
<td>0.487 ± 0.392</td>
<td>0.687 ± 0.392</td>
<td>0.482 ± 0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman ANOVA</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Average values of muscle activation: Mean ± SD, Median, Effect Sizes, P Value and Significance. Effect Size for Repeated Measures ANOVA (τ²): 0.01 = S.MO - M.O.14 = L. Effect Size for Friedman’s ANOVA (τ²): 0.1-0.3 = M.O.4-0.5=L.

RESULTS

• Significant differences (p ≤ 0.029) were found for muscle activation in the posterior musculature: GM and ST (FL > STA > UR).
• A significant difference (p = 0.035) was also found for the VL (UR > STA).
• Large effect sizes were found for the GM (η² = 0.634) and RF (η² = 0.166).
• Medium effect sizes were found for ESI (η² = 0.360), VM (η² = 0.315), VL (η² = 0.135) across all positions.
• Significant differences were present for joint angles across trials.

CONCLUSION

• This knowledge can help hockey coaches and strength coaches prevent overuse injuries throughout a season by adjusting biomechanical positioning and skating technique. By achieving equal distribution of muscle activation in the anterior and posterior musculature of the lower extremity there could be a lower chance of fatigue and injury throughout the season.
• Potential limitations:
  • Participants relied on verbal feedback in order to maintain positioning.
  • Future studies:
    • Investigate the potential differences on muscle activity while holding a hockey stick compared to not holding a stick to see if that helps with the validity of the results.
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Figure 3. Three Positions (left to right) Forward Lean, Shin-torso Alignment, Upright.