

Intermittency for hyperbolic Anderson equations with time-independent Gaussian noise: Stratonovich regime

Xia Chen

University of Tennessee

August 12, 2022, CBMS Conference, Huntsville, AL

Collaborator: Yaozhong Hu

Our model

The model in the talk is the hyperbolic Anderson Model (HAM)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \dot{W}(x)u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = 1 \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

where $\{\dot{W}(x); x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is a mean-zero generalized stationary Gaussian field such that

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{W}(x), \dot{W}(y)) = \gamma(x - y) \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with $\gamma(\cdot) \geq 0$. In this talk, $d = 1, 2, 3$.

Set-up of our model

Mathematically, the hyperbolic Anderson equation is defined by following mild equation

$$u(t, x) = 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(t-s, x-y) u(s, y) W(dy) ds$$

where the stochastic integral on the right hand side is defined in the sense of Stratanovich, i.e., a proper limit of

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(t-s, x-y) u(s, y) \dot{W}_\epsilon(x) ds \quad (\text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$$

Mathematical set-up

and $G(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the fundamental solution defined by the deterministic wave equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial t^2}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \Delta G(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ G(0, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \delta_0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{array} \right.$$

Chaos expansion

Iterating the mild equation infinite times we formally have

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(t, x)$$

with $I_0(t, x) = 1$ and the recurrent relation

$$S_{n+1}(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(t-s, x-y) S_n(s, y) W(dy) ds$$

Chaos expansion

Iterating this relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \left[\int_{[0, t]_{<}^n} d\mathbf{r} G(t - r_n, y_n - \mathbf{x}) \cdots G(r_2 - r_1, y_2 - y_1) \right] \\ &\times W(d\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots W(d\mathbf{x}_n) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \left[\int_{[0, t]_{<}^n} d\mathbf{s} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n G(s_k - s_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) \right) \right] W(d\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots W(d\mathbf{x}_n) \end{aligned}$$

where the conventions $x_0 = \mathbf{x}$ and $s_0 = 0$ are adopted and the second equality follows from the substitutions $s_k = t - r_{n-k+1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = y_{n-k+1} - \mathbf{x}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

Set-up of our model

Essentially, the expansion

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(t, x)$$

is a stochastic version of what is called Feynman-Kac formula and is formulated by Dalang, Mueller and Tribe (2008).

We recently proved that this expansion \mathcal{L}^2 -converges, and solves the hyperbolic Anderson equation under the Dalng's condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^2} \mu(d\xi) < \infty$$

Set-up of our model

where $\mu(d\xi)$ is the spectral measure of the covariance function $\gamma(\cdot)$ determined by the relation

$$\gamma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \mu(d\xi) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Prior to our progress, Balan (2022+) had reached the same conclusion under a more restrictive condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^2} \right)^{1/2} \mu(d\xi) < \infty$$

In this talk, our attention is on the intermittency of the system, i.e., the asymptotic behavior of the integer moments

$$\mathbb{E} u^p(t, x) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{E} |u(t, x)|^p$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or $p \rightarrow \infty$. In the remaining of the talk, we assume

$$\gamma(cx) = c^{-\alpha} \gamma(x) \quad c > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. In this case, Dalang's condition requests $0 < \alpha < 2$.

Main theorem

Theorem (Chen-Hu)

Assume that $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \log \mathbb{E} u^p(t, x) = \frac{3-\alpha}{2} p^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}}$$

for any $p = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \log \mathbb{E} |u(t, x)|^p = \frac{3-\alpha}{2} t^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}}$$

for any $t > 0$. where

$$\mathcal{M} = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_d} \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) g^2(x) g^2(y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g(x)|^2 dx \right\}$$

Corollary

Corollary. When $\dot{W}(x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) is an 1-dimensional white noise (i.e., $\gamma(\cdot) = \delta_0(\cdot)$),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-3/2} \log \mathbb{E} u^p(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4}} p^{3/2} \quad p = 1, 2, \dots .$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-3/2} \log \mathbb{E} |u(t, x)|^p = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4}} t^{3/2} \quad \forall t > 0$$

Remark.

In recent work by Balan, R., Chen, L. and Chen, X. (2022), the same p -limit and a slightly different t -limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \log \mathbb{E} |u(t, x)|^p = \frac{3-\alpha}{2} p(p-1)^{\frac{1}{3-\alpha}} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}}$$

are obtained in Skorokhod regime, under the condition $0 < \alpha < 3$.

Chaos expansion

We only prove the large- t part. First, under our initial condition $u(t, x)$ is stationary in x . So we make $x = 0$ in our proof. From

$$u(t, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(t, 0)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} u^p(t, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t, 0) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}n} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from scaling.

Series decomposition of $\mathbb{E} u^p(t, x)$

Assume that we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(n!)^{3-\alpha} \left(\sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right) \\ &= \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3-\alpha} p^{4-\alpha} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{4-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed by the computation

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{(4-\alpha)n} \left(\sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{(4-\alpha)n}}{(n!)^{3-\alpha}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3-\alpha} p^{4-\alpha} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{4-\alpha} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{3-\alpha}{2} p^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{3-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Reduction to high moment asymptotics

where the last step follows from the elementary fact that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1/\gamma} \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n t^n}{(n!)^\gamma} = \gamma \theta^{1/\gamma} \quad (\theta, \gamma > 0)$$

with $\gamma = 3 - \alpha$ and t being replaced by $t^{4-\alpha}$.

In summary, the proof of our theorem is reduced to the proof of

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(n!)^{3-\alpha} \left(\sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right) \\ &= \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3-\alpha} p^{4-\alpha} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{4-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian moment representation

The following moment representation plays a fundamental role in our result:

Theorem (Representation of Stratonovich moment)

For any $\lambda > 0$, and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_n(t, 0) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right\} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^t \dot{W}(B(s)) ds \right]^n dt \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

where $B(s)$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion independent of \dot{W} with $B(0) = 0$, and “ \mathbb{E}_0 ” is the expectation with respect to the Brownian motion.

Mathematical set-up

This relation largely related to the generalized function $G(t, x)$. Its spatial Fourier transform that takes form to all $d \geq 1$:

$$\widehat{G}(t, \xi) = \frac{\sin(|\xi|t)}{|\xi|}.$$

uniform for all $d \geq 1$. In the dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$, $G(t, x)$ can be expressed explicitly as

$$G(t, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq t\}} & d = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq t\}}}{\sqrt{t^2 - |x|^2}} & d = 2 \\ \frac{1}{4\pi t} \sigma_t(dx) & d = 3 \end{cases}$$

Mathematical set-up

where $\sigma_t(dx)$ is the surface measure on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; |x| = t\}$.

The reason that we limit our discussion to $d = 1, 2, 3$ because these are only cases where $G(t, x) \geq 0$.

The reason behind is a simple fact that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} G(t, x) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} p(t, x) dt \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for any $\lambda > 0$, where $p(t, x)$ is the density of $B(t)$:

$$p(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right\} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

Laplacian moment representation

Indeed, the both sides has the same Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} G(t, x) dt \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\sin |\xi| t}{|\xi|} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 t \right\} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} p(t, x) dt \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Laplacian moment representation

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_n(t, 0) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\lambda t} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} d\mathbf{x} \int_{[0, t]_<^n} d\mathbf{s} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n G(s_k - s_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) \right) \\ & \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(\mathbf{x}_k) \right) \\ &= \lambda^{-1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} d\mathbf{x} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} G(t, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) dt \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(\mathbf{x}_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian moment representation

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} d\mathbf{x} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} p(t, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) dt \right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(\mathbf{x}_k) \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_0^\infty dt \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right\} \int_{[0,t]_{<}^n} d\mathbf{s} \\ &\times \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} d\mathbf{x} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n p(\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{s}_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(\mathbf{x}_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian moment representation

Given $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in [0, t]_{<}^n$, the random vector $(B(s_1), \dots, B(s_n))$ has the joint density

$$f_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \triangleq \prod_{k=1}^n \rho(s_k - s_{k-1}, x_k - x_{k-1})$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} d\mathbf{x} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \rho(s_k - s_{k-1}, x_k - x_{k-1}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(x_k) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(B(s_k)) \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian moment representation

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_n(t, 0) dt \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_0^\infty dt \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right\} \int_{[0,t]_<}^n ds \mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n \dot{W}(B(s_k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right\} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^t \dot{W}(B(s)) ds \right]^n dt \end{aligned}$$

□

Laplacian moment representation

Corollary (Laplacian moment representation)

Given $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3n} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\lambda_j}{2} \right) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j^2 t_j \right\} \\ & \times \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1(t), \dots, B_p(t)$ are independent d -dimensional Brownian motions starting at 0.

Laplacian moment representation

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ &= \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \prod_{j=1}^p \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_j t} S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) dt \\ &= \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\lambda_j}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{l_j} \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{l_j!} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\lambda^2 t / 2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^t \dot{W}(B(s)) ds \right]^{l_j} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\lambda_j}{2} \right) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j^2 t_j \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2n)!} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \dot{W}(B_j(s)) ds \right]^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian moment representation

The remaining of the proof relies on the fact that conditioning on the Brownian motions,

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \dot{W}(B_j(s)) ds$$

is normal with zero mean and the variance

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr$$

Laplacian moment representation

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \dot{W}(B_j(s)) ds \right]^{2n} \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \end{aligned}$$

Together with the computation by far, this completes the proof. \square

Laplacian moment asymptotics

We now start the proof of the main theorem. The first step is to show

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ = \log 2 \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_p = 1$ in Laplacian moment representation, it is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \\ \times \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n = \log 2^4 \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

By Parseval's identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \left| \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right|^2 \\ &= (t_1 + \cdots + t_p)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \left| \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{t_j}{t_1 + \cdots + t_p} \frac{1}{t_j} \int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq (t_1 + \cdots + t_p) \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \left| \frac{1}{t_j} \int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right|^2 \\ &= (t_1 + \cdots + t_p) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{t_j} \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_j} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_j(r)) ds dr \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} (t_1 + \cdots + t_p) \sum_{j=1}^p t_j^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B_j(s) - B_j(r)) ds dr \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

where the inequality follows from Jensen and the last step from Brownian scaling and homogeneity of $\gamma(\cdot)$.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\ & \leq (t_1 + \dots + t_p)^n \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j=1}^p t_j^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B_j(s) - B_j(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\ & = (t_1 + \dots + t_p)^n \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = n} \frac{n!}{l_1! \dots l_p!} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^p t_j^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2} l_j} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B(s) - B(r)) ds dr \right]^{l_j} \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\ & \leq n! \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = n} \frac{1}{l_1! \cdots l_p!} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B(s) - B(r)) ds dr \right]^{l_j} \right\} \\ & \times \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p (t_1 + \cdots + t_p)^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \prod_{j=1}^p t_j^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2} l_j} \\ & = n! \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = n} \frac{1}{l_1! \cdots l_p!} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B(s) - B(r)) ds dr \right]^{l_j} \right\} \\ & \times 2^p 2^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2} n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{2-\alpha}{2} l_j \right) \right) \Gamma \left(p + \frac{2-\alpha}{2} n \right)^{-1} \Gamma \left(p + \frac{4-\alpha}{2} n \right) \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

From the large deviation for self-intersection local time

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(n!)^{-\alpha/2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B_s - B_r) ds dr \right]^n = \log 2^\alpha \left(\frac{4\mathcal{M}}{4 - \alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}}$$

That means: We are allowed to do the replacement

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \gamma(B(s) - B(r)) ds dr \right]^{l_j} \approx (l_j!)^{\alpha/2} \left(2^\alpha \left(\frac{4\mathcal{M}}{4 - \alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \right)^{l_j}$$

in our computation

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

Using Stirling formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\ & \preceq n! 2^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}n} \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2} \right)^n \left(2^\alpha \left(\frac{4\mathcal{M}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \right)^n \Gamma \left(p + \frac{2-\alpha}{2}n \right)^{-1} \\ & \times \Gamma \left(p + \frac{4-\alpha}{2}n \right) \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = n} 1 \\ & \approx (n!)^2 2^{4n} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}n} \binom{n+p-1}{p-1} \approx (n!)^2 2^{4n} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}n} \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Laplacian moment

In summary, we have established the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \\ & \times \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \leq \log 2^4 \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In the following we prove the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \\ & \times \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \geq \log 2^4 \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound of Laplacian moment

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \left| \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right|^2 \\ &\geq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) f(\xi) \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) f(\xi) \left(\int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative $f(\xi)$ with $f(-\xi) = f(\xi)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi) = 1$$

Lower bound of Laplacian moment

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\ & \geq \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) f(\xi) \left(\int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right) \right]^{2n} \\ & = \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \frac{(2n)!}{l_1! \dots l_p!} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) f(\xi) \left(\int_0^{t_j} e^{i\xi \cdot B_j(s)} ds \right) \right]^{l_j} \\ & = (2n)! \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \prod_{j=1}^p \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{l_j}} \mu(d\xi) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{l_j} f(\xi_k) \right) \\ & \quad \times \int_{[0, t_j]_{<}^{l_j}} ds \prod_{k=1}^{l_j} \exp \left\{ -\frac{s_k - s_{k-1}}{2} \left| \sum_{i=k}^{l_j} \xi_i \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound of Laplacian moment

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \\
 & \geq (2n)! \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \prod_{j=1}^p \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{l_j}} \mu(d\xi) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{l_j} f(\xi_k) \right) \\
 & \times \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t/2} \int_{[0,t]_{<}^{l_j}} ds \prod_{k=1}^{l_j} \exp \left\{ -\frac{s_k - s_{k-1}}{2} \left| \sum_{i=k}^{l_j} \xi_i \right|^2 \right\} \\
 & = 2^{2n+1} (2n)! \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \prod_{j=1}^p \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{l_j}} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^{l_j} f(\xi_k) \left(1 + \left| \sum_{i=k}^{l_j} \xi_i \right|^2 \right)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound of Laplacian moment

The spectral method yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n f(\xi_k) \left(1 + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right|^2\right)^{-1} \\ &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_2=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) f(\xi) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\eta \frac{\varphi(\eta)\varphi(\eta + \xi)}{\sqrt{(1 + |\eta|^2)(1 + |\xi + \eta|^2)}} \right] \triangleq \rho(f) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we are allowed to do the replacement

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^j} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^j f(\xi_k) \left(1 + \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \xi_i \right|^2\right)^{-1} \approx \rho(f)^j$$

Lower bound of Laplacian moment

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \\ & \times \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_0^{t_j} \int_0^{t_k} \gamma(B_j(s) - B_k(r)) ds dr \right]^n \geq \log 2^4 \rho^2(f) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the desired lower bound follows from the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|f\|_2=1} \rho^2(f) &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_2=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\eta \frac{\varphi(\eta)\varphi(\eta + \xi)}{\sqrt{(1 + |\eta|^2)(1 + |\xi + \eta|^2)}} \right]^2 \\ &= \mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking “Laplacian inverse”

In summary, we have reached the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ = \log 2\mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

As the last step, we now prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log (n!)^{3-\alpha} \left(\sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right) \\ = \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3-\alpha} p^{4-\alpha} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{4-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Taking “Laplacian inverse”

We first prove the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ & \geq \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j} \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} t_j, 0 \right) \\ & = \left\{ \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right\} \\ & \times \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} t_j \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \end{aligned}$$

Taking “Laplacian inverse”

By the fact for the i.i.d. $\exp(1)$ -random variables τ_1, \dots, τ_p ,

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \tau_j \sim \exp(p),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} t_j \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \\ &= p \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} t^{(4-\alpha)n} dt = \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \Gamma(1 + (4-\alpha)n) \end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling formula we obtain the desired upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(n!)^{3-\alpha} \left(\sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p \mathcal{S}_{l_j}(1, 0) \right) \\ & \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3-\alpha} p^{4-\alpha} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{M}^{1/2}}{4-\alpha} \right)^{4-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Taking “Laplacian inverse”

The same argument can be adapted for the lower bound with some extra effort. Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed but small. When $(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in (n(4 - \alpha - \delta), n(4 - \alpha + \delta))^p$,

$$t_j \leq (4 - \alpha + \delta)n \leq \frac{4 - \alpha + \delta}{4 - \alpha - \delta} \min_{1 \leq k \leq p} t_k \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) &\leq \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j} \left(\frac{4 - \alpha + \delta}{4 - \alpha - \delta} \min_{1 \leq k \leq p} t_k, 0 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{4 - \alpha + \delta}{4 - \alpha - \delta} \min_{1 \leq k \leq p} t_k \right)^{(4 - \alpha)n} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Taking “Laplacian inverse”

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(n(4-\alpha-\delta), n(4-\alpha+\delta))^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right\} \left(\frac{4 - \alpha + \delta}{4 - \alpha - \delta} \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \\ & \times \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} t_j \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \\ & = \left\{ \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(1, 0) \right\} \left(\frac{4 - \alpha + \delta}{4 - \alpha - \delta} \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \\ & \times \left(\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(4-\alpha)n} \Gamma \left(1 + (4 - \alpha)n \right) \end{aligned}$$

Concentration of exponential times

To complete the proof for the lower bound, therefore, all we need is to show

$$\int_{(n(4-\alpha-\delta), n(4-\alpha+\delta))^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0)$$
$$\sim \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for any small $\delta > 0$. This is proved below.

Concentration of exponential times

First recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ = \log 2\mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Working harder on the moment representation, we can prove that for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0) \\ \leq \log 2\mathcal{M}^{\frac{4-\alpha}{2}} + \frac{4-\alpha}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\lambda_j^{-2} \log \lambda_j^{-2}}{\lambda_1^{-2} + \cdots + \lambda_p^{-2}} \end{aligned}$$

The correspondent lower bound is very likely, but we are not able to prove it.

Concentration of exponential times

Define the probability measures $\mu_n(\mathbf{A})$ on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^p$

$$\mu_n(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\int_A dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0)}{\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_{l_j}(t_j, 0)}$$

For any $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j t_j \right\} \mu_n(dt_1 \cdots dt_p) \leq \Lambda(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$$

Concentration of exponential times

where

$$\Lambda(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) = \frac{4 - \alpha}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(1 - \theta_j)^{-2} \log(1 - \theta_j)^{-2}}{(1 - \theta_1)^{-2} + \dots + (1 - \theta_p)^{-2}}$$

when $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p < 1$, and $\Lambda(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) = +\infty$ if otherwise.

By the upper bound of Gärtner-Ellis theorem,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_n(nF) \leq - \inf_{(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in F} \Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p)$$

for every close set $F \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^p$, where

$$\Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \sup_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j t_j - \Lambda(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \right\}$$

Concentration of exponential times

It is not easy (perhaps) and unnecessary to find the close form of $\Lambda^*(\cdot)$. Clearly, $\Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) \geq 0$. Further, we claim that $\Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) > 0$ whenever $t_j \neq 4 - \alpha$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$.

Indeed, assume $(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^p$ that makes $\Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) = 0$. We must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j t_j \leq \frac{4 - \alpha}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(1 - \theta_j)^{-2} \log(1 - \theta_j)^{-2}}{(1 - \theta_1)^{-2} + \dots + (1 - \theta_p)^{-2}}$$

for every $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in (-\infty, 1)^p$. In particular, for given j , take $\theta_j = \theta$ and $\theta_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$:

$$\theta t_j \leq \frac{4 - \alpha}{2} \log(1 - \theta)^{-2} = (4 - \alpha) \log(1 - \theta)^{-1}$$

Concentration of exponential times

Thus,

$$t_j \leq (4 - \alpha) \frac{1}{\theta} \log(1 - \theta)^{-1} \quad \theta > 0$$

$$t_j \geq (4 - \alpha) \frac{1}{\theta} \log(1 - \theta)^{-1} \quad \theta < 0$$

Letting $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\theta \rightarrow 0^-$ separately, we have $t_j = 4 - \alpha$.

Write $G_\delta = (4 - \alpha - \delta, 4 - \alpha + \delta)^p$. We have, therefore,

$$\inf_{(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in G_\delta^c} \Lambda^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) > 0$$

Taking $F = G_\delta^c$ in the large deviation upper bound,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_n(nG_\delta^c) < 0$$





Concentration of exponential times

In particular,

$$\int_{nG_\delta} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_j(t_j, 0)$$
$$\sim \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^p t_j \right\} \sum_{l_1 + \cdots + l_p = 2n} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^p S_j(t_j, 0) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

That is what we try to prove. □

References

-  Balan, R. M., Chen, L. and Chen, X. Exact asymptotics of the stochastic wave equation with time-independent noise. *A.I.H.P.* (to appear)
-  Balan, R. M. . Stranovich solution for the wave equation. *J. Theor. Probab* (to appear).
-  Bass, R. Chen, X. and Rosen, J. Large deviations for Riesz potentials of additive processes. *Annales de l'Institut Henry Poincare* **45** (2009) 626-666.
-  Dalang, R. C.; Mueller, C. Tribe, R. A. Feynman-Kac-type formula for the deterministic and stochastic wave equations and other P.D.E.'s. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008) 4681-4703.

Thank you!