

Properties of Strong Local Nondeterminism of Gaussian Random Fields

Yimin Xiao

Michigan State University

CBMS Conference, University of Alabama in
Huntsville

August 2–6, 2021

Lecture 4. Properties of strong local nondeterminism

- Strong local nondeterminism
- Spectral condition for strong local nondeterminism
- A comparison theorem
- Stochastic heat equation
- Stochastic wave equation

4.1 Properties of local nondeterminism

The concept of local nondeterminism (LND) of a Gaussian process was first introduced by Berman (1973) for studying local times of Gaussian processes.

A Gaussian process $Y = \{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called *locally nondeterministic* on $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if for every integer $m \geq 2$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{t_m - t_1 \leq \varepsilon} V_m > 0, \quad (1)$$

where V_m is the relative prediction error:

$$V_m = \frac{\text{Var}(Y(t_m) - Y(t_{m-1}) | Y(t_1), \dots, Y(t_{m-1}))}{\text{Var}(Y(t_m) - Y(t_{m-1}))}$$

and the infimum in (1) is taken over all ordered points $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ in T with $t_m - t_1 \leq \varepsilon$.

(1) is equivalent to the following property: For every integer $m \geq 2$, there exist positive constants $C(m)$ and ε (both may depend on m) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k (Y(t_k) - Y(t_{k-1}))\right) \\ \geq C(m) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2 \text{Var}(Y(t_k) - Y(t_{k-1})) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all ordered points $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ in T with $t_m - t_1 < \varepsilon$ and $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m$).

- Pitt (1978) used (2) to define local nondeterminism of a Gaussian random field $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ with values in \mathbb{R} by introducing a partial order among $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- Pitt (1978) proved that fractional Brownian motion $B^H = \{B^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ has the following property: For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, and and $r \in (0, |u|)$,

$$\text{Var}(B^H(u) \mid B^H(t), |t - u| \geq r) = c r^{2H},$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. This implies that B^H satisfies the strong local nondeterminism on any compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

- Cuzick and DuPreez (1982) introduced strong local ϕ -nondeterminism and showed its usefulness in studying local times.

Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a centered Gaussian field in \mathbb{R} and let $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a compact interval. In studying precise regularity properties of X , we have made use of the following conditions:

(A4). \exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ and $u, t^1, \dots, t^n \in T$,

$$\text{Var}(X(u) \mid X(t^1), \dots, X(t^n)) \geq c \sum_{j=1}^N \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} |u_j - t_j^k|^{2H_j}.$$

Here and below, $H_j \in (0, 1)$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) are constants.

(A4'). \exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ and $u, t^1, \dots, t^n \in T$,

$$\text{Var}(X(u) \mid X(t^1), \dots, X(t^n)) \geq c \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(u, t^k)^2,$$

where

$$\rho(s, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N |s_j - t_j|^{H_j}, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

These conditions are referred to as properties of strong local nondeterminism (with respect to the metric ρ).

Remarks

- The Brownian sheet W does not satisfy “strong local nondeterminism” with $H_1 = \dots = H_N = 1/2$. This caused difficulties in studies of some sample path properties of W ; cf. Mountford (1989a, 1989b).
- The “sectorial local nondeterminism” was first discovered by Khoshnevisan and X. (2007) for [the Brownian sheet](#); and extended to fractional Brownian sheets by Wu and X. (2007).
- X. (2009), Luan and X. (2012) proved sufficient conditions for “strong local nondeterminism” for a large class of Gaussian fields with stationary increments.

4.2 Spectral conditions for strong local nondeterminism

Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a centered Gaussian field with stationary increments and $X(0) = 0$.

For any $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X(t+h) - X(t))^2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \cos\langle h, \lambda \rangle) \Delta(d\lambda),$$

where $\Delta(d\lambda)$ is the spectral measure of X , which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\lambda|^2}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \Delta(d\lambda) < \infty.$$

It follows that X has the stochastic integral representation:

$$X(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (e^{i\langle t, \lambda \rangle} - 1) \tilde{W}(d\lambda),$$

where $\tilde{W}(d\lambda)$ is a centered complex-valued Gaussian random measure with Δ as its control measure.

Remarks (i). If $Y = \{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ is a stationary Gaussian field, let $X(t) = Y(t) - Y(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ has stationary increments and has the same spectral measure as that of Y .

(ii). The spectral measure Δ can be

- absolutely continuous with density $f(\lambda)$, or
- singular with fractal support, or
- singular with a discrete support.

Theorem 4.1 [Xue and X., 2011]

Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a Gaussian field with stationary increments and spectral density $f(\lambda)$. If there are constants $H_1, \dots, H_N \in (0, 1]^N$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$f(\lambda) \asymp \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^{H_j}\right)^{2+Q}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad |\lambda| \geq K, \quad (3)$$

where $Q = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{H_j}$, then \exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ and $u, t^1, \dots, t^n \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\text{Var}\left(X(u) \mid X(t^1), \dots, X(t^n)\right) \geq c \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} \rho(u, t^k)^2, \quad \text{where } t^0 = 0.$$

Observe from (3) that the behavior of $f(\lambda)$ near 0 is not needed.

For proving Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1

Assume (3) is satisfied, then for any fixed constant $L > 0$, there exists a positive and finite constant c_1 such that for all functions g of the form

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k (e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} - 1), \quad (4)$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t^k \in [-L, L]^N$, we have

$$|g(\lambda)| \leq c_1 |\lambda| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(\xi)|^2 f(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ that satisfy $|\lambda| \leq K$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By (3), we can find positive constants C and η , such that

$$f(\lambda) \geq \frac{C}{|\lambda|^\eta}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |\lambda| \text{ large enough.}$$

Let \mathcal{G} be the collection of the functions $g(z)$ defined by (4) with $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $s^k \in [-L, L]^N$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Since each $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is an entire function, it follows from Proposition 1 of Pitt (1975) that for any given constant K ,

$$c_1 = \sup_{\substack{g \in \mathcal{G} \\ z \in U(0, K)}} \left\{ |g(z)| : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(\lambda)|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda \leq 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

where $U(0, K) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z| < K\}$ is the open ball of radius K in \mathbb{C}^N .

Since $g(0) = 0$ and g is analytic in $U(0, K)$, Schwartz's lemma implies

$$|g(z)| \leq c_1 K^{-1} |z| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(\xi)|^2 f(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $z \in U(0, K)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Denote $r \equiv \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} \rho(u, t^k)$. It is sufficient to prove that for all $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$),

$$\mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2 \geq c r^2. \quad (6)$$

By the stochastic integral representation of X , the left hand side of (6), up to a constant, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k (e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} - 1) \right|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Hence, we only need to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} \right|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda \geq c r^2, \quad (8)$$

where $t^0 = 0$ and $a_0 = -1 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$.

Let $\delta(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\delta(0) = 1$ and it vanishes outside the open ball $B_\rho(0, 1)$.

Denote by $\widehat{\delta}$ the Fourier transform of δ . Then $\widehat{\delta}(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and decays rapidly as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let A be the diagonal matrix with $H_1^{-1}, \dots, H_N^{-1}$ on its diagonal and let $\delta_r(t) = r^{-Q} \delta(r^{-A}t)$. By the inverse Fourier transform,

$$\delta_r(t) = (2\pi)^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\langle t, \lambda \rangle} \widehat{\delta}(r^A \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Since $\min\{\rho(u, t^k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\} = r$, we have

$$\delta_r(u - t^k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} \right) e^{-i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} \widehat{\delta}(r^A \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= (2\pi)^N \left(\delta_r(0) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \delta_r(u - t^k) \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^N r^{-Q}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We split the integral in (9) over $\{\lambda : |\lambda| < K\}$ and $\{\lambda : |\lambda| \geq K\}$ and denote the two integrals by I_1 and I_2 , respectively. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\leq \int_{|\lambda| < K} \left| e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} \right| |\hat{\delta}(r^A \lambda)| d\lambda \\
 &\leq c_1 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} \right|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{|\lambda| < K} |\lambda| |\hat{\delta}(r^A \lambda)| d\lambda \\
 &\leq c_2 \left[\mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^2 &\leq \int_{|\lambda| \geq K} \left| e^{i\langle u, \lambda \rangle} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k e^{i\langle t^k, \lambda \rangle} \right|^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda \\
 &\quad \times \int_{|\lambda| \geq K} \frac{|\widehat{\delta}(r^A \lambda)|^2}{f(\lambda)} d\lambda \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2 \cdot r^{-Q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\widehat{\delta}(\lambda)|^2}{f(r^{-A} \lambda)} d\lambda \\
 &\leq c \mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2 \cdot r^{-2Q-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We square both sides of (9) and use the above to obtain

$$(2\pi)^{2N} r^{-2Q} \leq c r^{-2Q-2} \mathbb{E} \left(X(u) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X(t^k) \right)^2.$$

This proves (8) and hence the theorem.

Remarks

- This method can be modified to prove sectorial local nondeterminism (by choosing appropriate function $\delta(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$).
- The method is applied in Lan, Marinucci and X. (2018) to prove strong local nondeterminism for isotropic Gaussian random fields on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 .

4.2 A comparison theorem

Now we consider the case where the spectral measure Δ may be singular.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $h > 0$, denote by $C(\lambda, h)$ the cube with side-length $2h$ and center λ , i.e.,

$$C(\lambda, h) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x_j - \lambda_j| \leq h, j = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

Let $L^2(C(0, L))$ be the subspace of $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whose support is contained in $C(0, L)$.

Theorem 4.2 [Luan and X., 2012]

Let $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a real, centered Gaussian field with stationary increments and $Y(0) = 0$. If for some $h > 0$ the spectral measure Δ of Y satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \liminf_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(0, \lambda)^{Q+2} \Delta(C(\lambda, h)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(0, \lambda)^{Q+2} \Delta(C(\lambda, h)) < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

then for any $L > 0$ such that $LhN < \log 2$, for all $u, t^1, \dots, t^n \in C(0, L)$,

$$\text{Var}\left(Y(u) \mid Y(t^1), \dots, Y(t^n)\right) \geq c \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} \rho(u, t^k)^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2

Lemma 4.2 (Pitt, 1975)

Let $\tilde{\Delta}(d\lambda)$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^N . If, for some constant $h > 0$, $\tilde{\Delta}(d\lambda)$ satisfies

$$0 < \liminf_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Delta}(C(\lambda, h)) \leq \limsup_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Delta}(C(\lambda, h)) < \infty.$$

Then for every $L > 0$ satisfying $LhN < \log 2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\hat{\psi}(\lambda)|^2 \tilde{\Delta}(d\lambda) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\hat{\psi}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(C(0, L))$.

Lemma 4.3 (Luan and X. 2012)

Let $\Delta_1(d\lambda)$ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^N such that for some $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \liminf_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(0, \lambda)^{Q+2} \Delta_1(C(\lambda, h)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(0, \lambda)^{Q+2} \Delta_1(C(\lambda, h)) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $L > 0$ with $LhN < \log 2$, \exists constants c_3 and c_4 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(\lambda)|^2 \Delta_1(d\lambda) \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|g(\lambda)|^2}{(\sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^{H_j})^{Q+2}} d\lambda$$

for all $g(\lambda)$ as in Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.2.

Examples

Example 4.1. Let $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ and $\{\eta_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ be two independent sequences of i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ random variables. Let

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} a_n (\xi_n \cos \langle n, t \rangle + \eta_n \sin \langle n, t \rangle), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\{a_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that

$$a_n^2 \asymp \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N |n_j|^{H_j}\right)^{Q+2}}.$$

By Theorem 4.2, the Gaussian field $Y(t) = Z(t) - Z(0)$ has the property of strong local nondeterminism.

Example 4.2. Let μ be the measure on \mathbb{R} obtained by “patching” fractal probability measures on $[n, n + 1]$, and let the spectral measure Δ be given by

$$\frac{d\mu(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^{1+2H}},$$

then Theorem 4.2 implies that any Gaussian process X with spectral measure Δ has the property of SLND which is similar to that of fBm B^H .

4.3 SLND of linear SHE

Consider the linear stochastic heat equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(t, x) + \sigma \dot{W}, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\ u(0, x) &\equiv 0,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian operator, σ is a constant or a deterministic function, and \dot{W} is a Gaussian noise that is white in time and has a spatially homogeneous covariance [Dalang (1999)] given by the Riesz kernel with exponent β if $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \in (0, k \wedge 2)$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}(\dot{W}(t, x)\dot{W}(s, y)) = \delta(t - s)|x - y|^{-\beta}.$$

If $k = 1 = \beta$, then \dot{W} is the space-time Gaussian white noise considered by Walsh (1986).

It follows from Dalang (1999) that the mild solution of (12) is the mean zero **Gaussian random field** $u = \{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{G}_{t-r}(x-y) \sigma W(dr dy), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\tilde{G}_t(x)$ is the Green kernel given by

$$\tilde{G}_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right), \quad \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Dalang, Khoshnevisan, and Nualart (2007) that for any $0 < a < b < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|u(t, x) - u(s, y)|^2\right) \asymp \rho((t, x), (s, y))^2 \quad (13)$$

for all $(t, x), (s, y) \in [a, b] \times [-b, b]^k$, where

$$\rho((t, x), (s, y)) = |t - s|^{\frac{2-\beta}{4}} + |x - y|^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}.$$

Even though the solution $\{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not stationary nor has stationary increments, by using the following representation in Dalang, Mueller and X. (2017):

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-i\xi x} \frac{e^{-i\tau t} - e^{-t\xi^2}}{|\xi|^2 - i\tau} |\xi|^{(\beta-k)/2} W(d\tau, d\xi),$$

we can prove

Theorem 4.3 [Khoshnevisan, Lee, and X. (2021)]

For any $0 < a < b < \infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all integers $n \geq 1$, for all $(t, x), (t^1, x^1), \dots, (t^n, x^n) \in [a, b] \times [-b, b]^k$,

$$\text{Var}(u_1(t, x) | u_1(t^1, x^1), \dots, u_1(t^n, x^n)) \geq C \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho((t, x), (t^i, x^i))^2.$$

Consequently, many regularity properties of $\{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ can be derived.

4.4 SLND of linear stochastic wave equation

The linear stochastic wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), & t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\ u(0, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(0, x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where \dot{W} is a Gaussian noise as in the previous section with exponent β if $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \in (0, k \wedge 2)$.

The existence of real-valued process solution to (14) was studied by Walsh (1986) for the space-time white noise and by Dalang (1999) in the more general setting.

Recall that the fundamental solution of the wave equation G is

$$G(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < t\}} \quad \text{if } k = 1;$$

$$G(t, x) = c_k \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{(k-2)/2} (t^2 - |x|^2)_+^{-1/2}, \quad \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{ is even};$$

and

$$G(t, x) = c_k \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{(k-3)/2} \frac{\sigma_t^k(dx)}{t}, \quad \text{if } k \geq 3 \text{ is odd},$$

where σ_t^k is the uniform surface measure on the sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : |x| = t\}$.

For any dimension $k \geq 1$, the Fourier transform of G in variable x is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(G(t, \cdot))(\xi) = \frac{\sin(t|\xi|)}{|\xi|}, \quad t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k. \quad (15)$$

Dalang (1999) proved that the real-valued process solution of equation (14) is given by

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} G(t-s, x-y) W(ds dy), \quad (16)$$

where W is the martingale measure induced by the noise \dot{W} . The range of β has been chosen so that the stochastic integral exists.

Recall from Dalang (1999) that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} H(s, y) W(ds dy) \right)^2 \right] = c \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathcal{F}(H(s, \cdot))(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{k-\beta}} \quad (17)$$

provided that $s \mapsto H(s, \cdot)$ is a deterministic function with values in the space of nonnegative distributions with rapid decrease and

$$\int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\mathcal{F}(H(s, \cdot))(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{k-\beta}} < \infty.$$

In the following, we show that the Gaussian random field $\{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$ satisfies a form of strong local nondeterminism.

Let $0 < a < a' < \infty$ and $0 < b < \infty$ be fixed constants.

Theorem 4.4 (Lee and X. (2019))

There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $(t, x), (t^1, x^1), \dots, (t^n, x^n)$ in $[a, a'] \times [-b, b]^k$ with $|t - t^j| + |x - x^j| \leq a/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}(u(t, x) | u(t^1, x^1), \dots, u(t^n, x^n)) \\ & \geq C \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(t - t^j) + (x - x^j) \cdot w|^{2-\beta} dw, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where dw is the surface measure on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{k-1} .

When $k = 1$, the surface measure dw in (18) is supported on $\{-1, 1\}$. It follows that $u(t, x)$ satisfies sectorial local nondeterminism:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}(u(t, x) | u(t^1, x^1), \dots, u(t^n, x^n)) \\ & \geq C \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(t - t^j) + (x - x^j)|^{2-\beta} + \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(t - t^j) - (x - x^j)|^{2-\beta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.4. For each $w \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, let

$$r(w) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(t^j - t) - (x^j - x) \cdot w|.$$

Since u is a centered Gaussian random field, it suffices to show that there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $(t, x), (t^1, x^1), \dots, (t^n, x^n)$ in $[a, a'] \times [-b, b]^k$ with $|t - t^j| + |x - x^j| \leq a/2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(u(t, x) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u(t^j, x^j) \right)^2 \right] \geq C \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} r(w)^{2-\beta} dw \quad (19)$$

for all possible choice of real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Using (15), (17) and spherical coordinate $\xi = \rho w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(u(t, x) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u(t^j, x^j) \right)^2 \right] &= c \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \sin((t-s)|\xi|) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e^{-i(x^j-x)\cdot\xi} \sin((t^j-s)|\xi|) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t^j]}(s) \right|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{2+k-\beta}} \\
 &\geq c \int_0^{a/2} ds \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{3-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} \left| \sin((t-s)\rho) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e^{-i\rho(x^j-x)\cdot w} \sin((t^j-s)\rho) \right|^2 dw \\
 &= c \int_0^{a/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\rho}{|\rho|^{3-\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} \left| (e^{i(t-s)\rho} - e^{-i(t-s)\rho}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e^{-i\rho(x^j-x)\cdot w} (e^{i(t^j-s)\rho} - e^{-i(t^j-s)\rho}) \right|^2 dw
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda = \min\{1, a/[2(a' + 2\sqrt{kb})]\}$ and consider the bump function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(1 - \frac{1}{1-|\lambda^{-1}y|^2}\right), & |y| < \lambda, \\ 0, & |y| \geq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varphi_r(y) = r^{-1}\varphi(y/r)$. For each $w \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ such that $r(w) > 0$, consider the integral

$$I(w) = \int_0^{a/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[(e^{i(t-s)\rho} - e^{-i(t-s)\rho}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e^{-i\rho(x^j-x) \cdot w} (e^{i(t^j-s)\rho} - e^{-i(t^j-s)\rho}) \right] e^{-i(t-s)\rho} \widehat{\varphi}_{r(w)}(\rho) d\rho.$$

By the inverse Fourier transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(w) = 2\pi \int_0^{a/2} & \left[\varphi_{r(w)}(0) - \varphi_{r(w)}(2(t-s)) \right. \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \{ \varphi_{r(w)}((x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t)) \\
 & \left. - \varphi_{r(w)}((x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t) + 2(t^j - s)) \} \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $r(w) \leq |t^j - t| + |x^j - x| \leq a' + 2\sqrt{kb}$. For any $s \in [0, a/2]$, we have $2(t-s)/r(w) \geq a/[(a' + 2\sqrt{kb})]$ and $|(x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t)|/r(w) \geq 1$, thus

$$\varphi_{r(w)}(2(t-s)) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi_{r(w)}((x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t)) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Also,

$$[(x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t) + 2(t^j - s)]/r(w) \geq (-\delta + a)/[(a' + 2\sqrt{kb})] \geq \lambda,$$

we have

$$\varphi_{r(w)}((x^j - x) \cdot w - (t^j - t) + 2(t^j - s)) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$I(w) = a\pi r(w)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and scaling, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(a\pi)^2 r(w)^{-2} = |I(w)|^2 &\leq A(w) \times \int_0^{a/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(r(w)\rho)|^2 |\rho|^{3-\beta} d\rho \\ &= (a/2)A(w)r(w)^{\beta-4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(\rho)|^2 |\rho|^{3-\beta} d\rho \\ &= CA(w)r(w)^{\beta-4}\end{aligned}$$

for some finite constant C . Hence we have

$$A(w) \geq C' r(w)^{2-\beta} \quad (20)$$

and this remains true if $r(w) = 0$. Integrating both sides of (20) over \mathbb{S}^{k-1} yields (19).

As an application of Theorem 4.4., Lee and X. (2019) proved the following uniform modulus of continuity.

Theorem 4.5 [Lee and X. (2019)]

There is a positive finite constant K such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\substack{(t,x), (t',x') \in I, \\ |(t,x) - (t',x')| \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|u(t,x) - u(t',x')|}{\gamma[(t,x), (t',x')]} = K, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\gamma[(t,x), (t',x')] = (|t - t'| + |x - x'|)^{2-\beta} \sqrt{\log[|t - t'| + |x - x'|]^{-1}}.$$

Remark Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 have been extended to SWE with fractional-colored noise by Lee (2021).

Thank you