

## THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE JOURNAL OF THE FACULTY SENATE VOLUME XXV MINUTES OF MEETING #567, 28 APRIL 2016 APPROVED 16 AUGUST 2016

Present: Wai Mok, John Schnell, Eric Fong, David Stewart, Diana Bell, Irena Buksa, Christine Sears, Kyle Knight, Michael Banish, Earl Wells, James Swain, Ken Zuo, Mark Lin, Cheryl Emich, Lenora Smith, Udaysankar Nair, Luciano Matzkin, Tim Newman, Grant Zhang, Ming Sun, Vladimir Florinski, Kader Frendi, Carolyn Sanders

Absent with proxy: Tim Landry, Jeremy Fischer, Anne Marie Choup, Richard Fork, Babak Shotorban, Ann Bianchi, Azita Amiri, Marlena Primeau, Larry Carey, Debra Moriarity

Absent without proxy: Xuejing Xing, Xiaotong Li, Joe Conway, Eric Seeman, Ying-Cheng Lin,
Casey Norris, Monica Beck, John Shriver, Jeff Weimer, Peter Slater,
Monica Dillihunt

Guests: Provost Christine Curtis

- Faculty Senate President Kader Frendi called the meeting to order at 12:35 pm.
- > Dr. Frendi motions to table Hoverboard Policy. Tim Newman moves to table. James Swain seconds. Ayes Carry.
- Approval of Faculty Senate Meeting #566 Minutes from March 31, 2016. Michael Banish <u>motions</u> to approve Minutes 566. Tim Newman seconds the motion. Ayes carry the motion. No oppositions. Motion to approve Faculty Senate Minutes 566 passes.
- FSEC Report from April 21, 2016
   Michael Banish moves to accept. Ramon Cerro seconds the motion.
   Ayes carry the motion. No oppositions.
   Motion to accept Faculty Senate Executive Committee Report April 21, 2016 passes.

## > Administrative Reports

 Tim Newman – I have had comments on two issues from my department. One is on student instructor evaluations. We are seeing lower rate of participation on those. Second issue is they are not closing before final exams. Last semester my participation rate was higher in January.

- Provost The spring they are scheduled to close the 22<sup>nd</sup> at 11:59 pm.
- Tim The faculty thinks we need to do something about the low participation rate and the comments are coming from students that are really after us.
- Provost First, the Friday before the 22nd of April the SIE's were moved. Training has been completed through the company that works the reports. I suggest we have a committee on the SIE's or ask for volunteers to work with us and ensure we are responding appropriately to the faculty. We have had several concerns. The schedule is now issuing the reports after the finals are over. We need input from everyone on ways to increase participation and that is what is needed for the faculty.
- Ramon Is there a way to tie receiving their final grade based on completion of the survey?
- Provost We don't make it mandatory.
- Ramon Why is a quick, biased report better than something that would take longer to receive?
- Provost Hopefully we can figure out a way to make the report more appealing to the students to participate in.
- Diane Research demonstrates that women and minorities evaluation tend to be lower, so there is a built in biased for that reason. The second thing is I had a student ask if they would receive extra credit for completing the evaluation. I said no. I later discovered that another Professor was doing this. So what do we do?
- Provost I think that is a personal decision.
- Diane I think it should be a university decision.
- Provost That can be addressed to the committee this fall.
- Eric Fong We need to make sure that the closing date works for late night courses.
- Provost This will have to be tailored for each class. This needs to be remembered in the fall discussion.
- Tim Newman Next, there is a lot of issues with the digital measures product. They stated it was tedious and had to research what the boxes were asking and had to dig. So many faculty members were very agitated. Once the report was done, we had to hand correct it.
- Provost Why did we do it? For those that were here when it first came about, the good news is the contract is over next year. I have no intention to renew it. The question would be what to do in the meantime. Maybe can it and just pay the remaining balance. I know we did this to compile the activities of the university. That was the purpose as far as I know. Is that important to us? If it is, we will have to find another option to complete this. I have to commend the faculty for their patience in completing it. I do understand and this fall we will discuss this. We need to put together a selection committee to find something and do a pilot test.

## > Officer and Committee Reports

- Kader Frendi , President
  - I want to take this opportunity to thank you for showing up and being supportive this whole year. I spoke about you all to the BOT and how well you all support the senate. I have enjoyed this time working with each of you. I am very proud of you.
  - Mike Banish presents plaque to Dr. Frendi in honor of his time as President for the Faculty Senate.
- o Jim Swain , Governance and Operations Committee Co-Chair

- I am happy to announce with have kind of completed elections. The new president-elect will be Carmen Scholz. We will have to have a run-off for the Ombudsperson. We will have to reopen this election. Can we go to Sunday night?
  - Kader Frendi Yes, until we break the tie.
  - Member Can it be done digitally?
  - Kader Frendi It was discussed but it is too late this year to start that.
- o Tim Newman, Parliamentarian
  - Report on handbook committee:
    - We have spent a lot of time on the handbook. It started in 2009. The committee this year had eleven meetings. I thank the Provost for all the time she devoted to this revision. We have reported out to you Chapters 1-6 and Appendix A. We won't get to Appendix B today. We have a few concerns. The idea that tenure for a term and not transferrable was worrisome. There was a lot of discussion with the role of a chair. I do know there is a lot of discussion with this. There are a lot of facets that are lingering with the chair. The version that came back did have some issues. We received a memo yesterday from Ben Graves that was very interesting. He listed that the faculty senate has the right to determine their right and structure. There are some concerns that it may be biased.
      - Ramon Members of the committee are chosen within the faculty.
         Has that changed?
      - Tim No, there was no change to that wording.
      - Member Reappointments for term tenured faculty. They have to maintain the same for tenure. Where did that come from?
      - o Kader Frendi Chapter 7. That is still to be reviewed.
  - Ramon Cerro, Personnel Committee Chair
    - No Report.
  - Eric Fong, Undergraduate Curriculum Committee Co-Chair
    - We have a couple of course changes that need to be approved. Professional Studies has been passed.
  - Joseph Taylor, Finance and Resources Committee Chair
    - We have awarded the distinguished speakers. They will be listed on the faculty senate website. We will be taking proposals for Spring 2017 at the start of the fall.
  - Wai Mok, Past-President
    - No Report.
  - Michael Banish, President-Elect
    - No Report.
  - Lenora Smith, Faculty and Student Development Committee Chair
    - No Report.
- Communicable Disease Policy
  - The only change we made is adding the presentation of the Provost and a member of the Senate.
  - Lenora Just for those minor changes, it was mentioned that the Provost being present wasn't needed.

- Kader Frendi Do I have a motion to adopt this policy? Michael Banish motions. Ramon Cerro seconds. Any input from the senate?
- Diana When it says return to work/school it says there should be documentation from attending health care physician or health dept. Would the faculty clinic suffice for this?
- Member We could add qualified health care provider.
- Michael Banish We say health department for Huntsville/Madison County or attending health care physician.
- Provost We say Huntsville/Madison County, is it necessary to be that department? Can they not use their hometown?
- Member If we add qualified health care provider, we could take out health department.
- Michael This will be accepted as a friendly amendment.
- Kader Frendi Any more comments? We will add the amendment. All in favor with policy in front of you with amendment? All in favor. No oppositions.
- o Bill 389 Chapter 4 Handbook
  - This is the second reading for the bill.
  - Tim Newman In chapter 4, the changes from what the senate has forwarded are cosmetic. We have done some updating in position titles, position descriptions, and unit titles. We do have two colleges now that need to be added. There is a slightly revised statement about the expectations for the chairs and deans. It wasn't a big difference. In section 4.4, there is an elaboration on process of establishment of a department. We added that the faculty will be consulted in this process. There will be a review cycle that every unit will go through. We added that decision is based essentially on education decisions and financial reasons. There is also a provision if the decision is made to close a unit there is a repeal process. Under additional considerations, there is more material. This states as to what happens if units go away. This goes with sister institutions. The attempt will be made to place faculty members at another institution. Hopefully this will make it clear to everyone here and those coming.
  - Ramon Cerro We took out the reference to guidelines. I think what comes from
     4.4 is explicitly what is in the guidelines.
  - Tim At the end of this is where the ombudsperson position is listed.
  - James This position will replace the faculty senate ombudsperson. We are in the process of revising the bylaws.
  - Provost Wouldn't that be up to the senate to decide?
  - Kader Frendi Yes, it will be the senate's decision.
  - Michael Banish I move to accept Bill 389. James Swain seconds. Any more discussion/input?
  - Member Yes, that is the problem. I don't know if everyone has read all of these. I
    have not. Unlike the senators in Washington, I want to know what this states.
  - Diana Friendly amendment, there are inconsistencies in grammar. I am happy to do some additional proofreading to correct these issues. It is basically just simple corrections. I am happy to do the work.
  - Ramon This is second reading?
  - Kader Yes.
  - Ramon Will there be a third?

- Frendi Yes, if the second passes.
- James How long do you anticipate it would take? A month from now or in the fall?
- Kader It was a small suggestion to make it quick. We can push it to summer or early fall.
- Mark Additional considerations On the last sentence, if no petition is available. I wonder why?
- Tim I think it should say, there are some positions that are without other training. You may serve in a unit that your degree is in another unit. It covers someone that doesn't need retraining.
- Mark The way I read it is the opportunity for training opens with/without job opportunity.
- Tim I think legally this implies if training could allow someone to serve in a new position, training will be provided.
- Diana With the retraining, the faculty member would be able to teach any course that relates to his/her prior work and have it move departments.
- Kader Any more comments? There was one objection so the bill will not pass second reading. All in favor of the bill as it stands. 25 in favor. 2 oppose. 1 abstains. Bill will move to third reading.
- o Bill 390 Chapter 5 Handbook
  - James Motions to suspend rules to move to librarian/lecturer policy since the handbook bills will be moved to third reading. Diana seconds. Aye carry.
- Librarian/Lecturer Policy
  - Kader Frendi We received this policy about a year ago. It had all the positions listed in the policy. The argument was we had a handbook why duplicate it into a policy. Provost agreed to take out the positions that needed to receive approval. I have been receiving calls asking about the librarians/lecturers. What you have in this policy is just a shortening of the original policy. We should just always refer to the handbook. The ladders are listed within the librarians and lecturers. We change the name of some titles that would help distinguish between different positions. The policy passed the FSEC. This is not a hiring policy. We are trying to cover those that exist and are on campus now. We use lecturers in my department and help relieve the load.
  - Michael Banish If you take English as the example, because of the nature of UAH and the student body, we are way out of whack in the student population. I think English is going to teach 50 plus sections in the fall plus honors. They are limited to a class size by guidelines. They have had several lecturers work for them that have never seen recognition. This isn't to replace tenure-track positions, but recognize the ones that are here.
  - Ramon Cerro Can I show a graph that shows relates to this?
  - Kader Frendi Do I have a motion to accept policy as it is. Michael Banish moves.
     Joseph Taylor seconds.
  - Ramon Cerro This graph shows four decades on the teaching positions of the university. The full time tenure tracked has decreased in 40 years. The university has gotten bigger but faculty has decreased. The change is with tenure-tracked. The young faculty to become tenured has decreased by 50%. People that are in the pipeline to become tenure are smaller than ever. In number of years, that will

continue to decline. The number of part-time and lecturers has increased by 50%. This policy is presented to help lecturers that have an unstable position, but we are really pushing an unfair system of two classes. We have so many comments from part-timers that it is degrading to have to wait to see if they have work. The reason why it is happening goes back to the Governor of Wisconsin. The tenured-track positions disappeared and could hire lecturers at a lower cost. I will accept policy that the lecturers/part-timers have a right to be heard before dismissed, not just because of contract. Tenure isn't something you have and enjoy for life; it is just saying you can't be dismissed without due process. I ask that part-timers be given the same respect.

- Diana I am concerned about the word Master. I am concerned about the history of that word. I have looked it up and the dictionary definition indicates it has a negative cogitation. If you say senior, I am concerned about the use of master.
- Member Can you use a more common name?
- Tim I think this policy would move the university in a negative way. I think of a lecturer as someone who is here for a time, not a permanent basis. I think that has been our traditional understanding of this position. I think by this policy we are institutionalizing lecturers to be here for a long time. This policy is set up to give a lecturer a career path. Looking at the graph it's alarming to me of what is going on. Lecturers speak without the benefit of tenure. We grossly underpay these people and it is obscene in my view. We need to remedy this situation, not what this policy wants to do. I vote against this. I hope it is revoked and comes back just for librarians.
- James I understand Tim sentiments. The one concern I raise is the proposal that you are making. We are going to have lecturers here and it should include them. I certainly appreciate the insight on setting up a ladder for these people. They have no voice if they should be terminated. Their underpaying goes along with our stipend amounts to our graduate students. I suggest it should contain something about lecturers so they can voice back in an appeal process. It should recognize the thrust of a dept that it shouldn't continue taking in lecturers versus associate professors.
- Member I see another problem with senior and master lecturer. If you take lecturers who are already overloaded and add to their plate that is a mistake.
- Diana Is it possible to break this up because I know the librarians needs this and deal with the lecturers separately?
- Provost Librarians have two positions. There are some that are lecturers. The senate can choose to respond to the proposal and give recommendations back.
- Member I would like to disagree with the statement that tenure only gives the protection of dismissal.
- Kader I am against hiring more lecturers. I have made that clear. I have lost lecturers to Research Park because this is a dead-end job. They have nothing to look forward to here. When I look at this as a Chair that is where I see the balance. The graph is frightening to see the number going down of tenured.
- Ramon This is not an attack on lecturers. My point is not to get rid of lecturers.
- Joseph I agree with Ramon. My concern is for my lecturers on the ground today.
   It would be nice to give them something that is winning teaching awards and

- advancement. The due process is important, they can't be dismissed. This policy refers to the handbook about reappointment process.
- Ramon What prevents your department from giving a contract? We are agreeing on a policy that will stand for many years. We are suggesting let's have this policy ready when it's ready. What prevents you hiring them again?
- Joseph It isn't an issue of hiring. It's just they have been here for 10 years with no recognition.
- Michael Banish Right now there is no senior lecturer. There is no promotion for them right now.
- Tim I think that there is a need for librarians. I think having three lecturer positions is an issue. This is a twelve year process with no permanence. I propose it go back to personnel committee and it be separated into two policies. They bring forward another policy with only lecturer with just two for only five years and add due process/protection for both librarians/lecturers. That is my motion. Ramon seconds.
- Tim We have the faculty handbook. Will this go into the handbook or will it be separate?
- Provost That was my thought. The reason it came forward was because of the length of time it takes to go through all the chapters. In response to the librarians/lecturers, this was it. The recommendation by Tim could be sent back to me with a strong recommendation that it be changed. My responsibility would be to change it and send it back. I wouldn't ignore what was said. As soon as Chapter 7 was ready it would fold in and the policy would disappear. It belongs there. The original idea that started when I came was provide something in the meantime.
- Kader Frendi All in favor of motion by Tim. 27 for. 2 against. 2 abstain. The decision is to send back to personnel committee.
- Banish I motion to suspend rules. Tim seconds. Aye carry.

## o Bill 388 SGA Plus/Minus Grading

- Ramon Cerro The first statement is important.
- Kader My concern is the students have looked into this.
- Ramon From the statements, the students want a difference in an A and A plus. It could mean that an A is no longer a 4.0. I honestly think it is unfair. We have a generation with 4.0, then another with 4.3. The biggest issue is I am not 100% that SGA has factored everything. By survey, 53% want to stay.
- Michael Banish This came from students that have been applying to professional school and say they graduated with 4.0, but they say no that is 3.8. This bill has come forward because the beginning year I was approached by faculty because they wanted to use plus/minus grading. There was some faculty support. I said that the students do have a bill coming forward. Right now on banner we have a chance to put plus/minus. Some do this and some don't. We could choose to get rid of that on banner, or we could choose to give them an actual numerical value.
- Diana We could still use prior grades, but they could see the actual grade.
- Michael Banish They want to get rid of an A minus that will hinder their A minus.
- Tim I think we considered this 15 years ago at UAH. I have understood that if the student goes to professional school and doesn't get in. I don't see the benefit to

- them. The second thing is they go to medical school with 4.0 but it goes to 3.8. Others could go to biology, and we recalculated their 4.0 to 3.8 and that hurts them. I haven't understood the argument.
- Provost The student said that when he was a freshman he didn't understand the A minus.
- Banish The other point to the full range scale.
- Tim Can you pull up the last page of what I brought? I think we risk disadvantaging students with higher grades. We could potentially hurt some students that are reliant on financial help. I am thinking about this mostly about undergraduate. I could get to some current students close to graduation. Most are 3.0, but if we do the plus/minus, they go to 2.91 cant graduate. Then another has 3.0, and then has 2.96. I think we need to go to the registrar to evaluate how many students this would affect positively and negatively.
- Kader Frendi moves to extend meeting. Diana motions. Ramon seconds. Ayes carry.
- Carolyn I don't know that I am strong for it or against it. I am more torn that if can be upon the professors decision. To me that seems unfair.
- Joseph I agree with Carolyn. It doesn't change the fundamental problems. The bill allows people to choose and it's confusing. I would rather we do one thing all together.
- Kader Frendi I had the same situation in engineering. We have the C or better for prerequisite. That will affect this across campus.
- Eric I agree with Tim's view. We have to look at the whole context as to what the university is trying to accomplish. The ultimate goal is graduation rates. If we have border line students, we can send a message by giving a C, but a C minus would keep them from graduating.
- Diana You can send that message prior with grades given out in class so that we have the both of best worlds.
- Member I like the plus/minus grading. I use it with my students. What happens if you have an 88.9 or 89.1? What do I do in this situation? I look at the student and evaluate.
- Jeff We need to be consistent, but I think it will hurt the students more than they think or confuse everyone. I think we should really think about this.
- Member Any GPA that is calculated will be grandfathered?
- Kader Frendi Yes.
- Mark The problem now is other universities want to see it their way.
- Member The current system is more beneficial to students. If we change to plus/minus, they get the grade regardless. We have a lot average students in my department; we will probably have to change the 3.0 graduation.
- Kader Frendi Do I hear a motion to move to undergraduate scholastic. Tim motion.
   Mark second. 26 for. 0 oppose. 0 abstain. Bill goes back to undergraduate scholastic affairs.

Faculty Senate Meeting #567 lost quorum. April 28, 2016 2:05 p.m.