

Quenched Asymptotic for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of Poisson Potential

Fei Xing

Department of Mathematics
University of Tennessee, Knoxville

CBMS 2012

Random Motion in Random Media

- ▶ **random motion**: \mathbb{R}^d valued Markovian process $X(t)$
– $\mathbb{P}_x, \mathbb{E}_x$
- ▶ **random media**: stationary random potential $V(\cdot)$
– \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E}
- ▶ $X(t)$ and $V(\cdot)$ are **independent**.
- ▶ Consider

$$u_{\pm}(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) ds \right\}$$

Q: How do u_+ and u_- behave for t large?

Random Motion in Random Media

- ▶ **random motion**: \mathbb{R}^d valued Markovian process $X(t)$
– $\mathbb{P}_x, \mathbb{E}_x$
- ▶ **random media**: stationary random potential $V(\cdot)$
– \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E}
- ▶ $X(t)$ and $V(\cdot)$ are **independent**.
- ▶ Consider

$$u_{\pm}(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) \, ds \right\}$$

Q: How do u_+ and u_- behave for t large?

Random Motion in Random Media

- ▶ **random motion**: \mathbb{R}^d valued Markovian process $X(t)$
– $\mathbb{P}_x, \mathbb{E}_x$
- ▶ **random media**: stationary random potential $V(\cdot)$
– \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E}
- ▶ $X(t)$ and $V(\cdot)$ are **independent**.
- ▶ Consider

$$u_{\pm}(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) \, ds \right\}$$

Q: How do u_+ and u_- behave for t large?

Why exponential moment?

- ▶ $u(t, x)$ is the normalizing constant of the random Gibbs measure $\mu_{t, \omega}$

$$\frac{d\mu_{t, \omega}}{dP_x} = \frac{1}{u(t, x)} \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X_s) ds \right\}$$

- ▶ (Feynman-Kac) $u(t, x)$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t, x) &= \mathcal{L}u(t, x) \pm V(x)u(t, x), & (t, x) &\in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) &= 1, & x &\in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{L} – infinitesimal generator of the Markov operator T_t

$$T_t(f)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x f(X(t)).$$

Why exponential moment?

- ▶ $u(t, x)$ is the normalizing constant of the random Gibbs measure $\mu_{t, \omega}$

$$\frac{d\mu_{t, \omega}}{dP_x} = \frac{1}{u(t, x)} \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X_s) ds \right\}$$

- ▶ (Feynman-Kac) $u(t, x)$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t, x) &= \mathcal{L}u(t, x) \pm V(x)u(t, x), & (t, x) &\in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) &= 1, & x &\in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{L} – infinitesimal generator of the Markov operator T_t

$$T_t(f)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x f(X(t)).$$

Literature

Brownian motion under stationary potentials have been well studied:

- ▶ Sznitman '93
- ▶ Carmona, Molchanov '95
- ▶ Gartner, Konig, Molchanov '00
- ▶ Gartner, Konig '05
- ▶ Chen '11

Our Focus

$X(t)$ be Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process.

Why O-U?

- ▶ Extensive applications for stationary dynamics in other fields:
 - ▶ physical science: noisy relaxation process
 - ▶ finance: interest rate derivatives
 - ▶ biochemistry: model peptide bond angle of water molecules
- ▶ require a different strategy other than B.M case.

Our Focus

$X(t)$ be Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process.

Why O-U?

- ▶ Extensive applications for stationary dynamics in other fields:
 - ▶ physical science: noisy relaxation process
 - ▶ finance: interest rate derivatives
 - ▶ biochemistry: model peptide bond angle of water molecules
- ▶ require a different strategy other than B.M case.

O-U process

Let $X(t)$ be a d -dim O-U process $X(t)$ starting at $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$:

$$dX_i(t) = -X_i(t)dt + dB_i(t) \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

- ▶ $X_i(t) \stackrel{d}{=} x_i e^{-t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-t} W(e^{2t} - 1)$ W is a standard B.M.
- ▶ $X(t)$ is Markovian, Gaussian, asymptotically stationary.
- ▶ invariant measure: $\mu(dx) \sim N(0, I/2)$.

Poisson potential

Define the **Poisson** potential $V(\cdot)$:

$$V(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x-y) \omega(dy),$$

where

- ▶ deterministic **shape function** $K(x) \geq 0$ is continuous & compactly supported
- ▶ $\omega(\cdot)$ is a **Poisson random measure** with intensity measure λdx :
 - ▶ $\omega(\emptyset) = 0$
 - ▶ For any $\{A_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ pairwise disjoint,

$$\omega\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega(A_i) \quad a.s.$$

- ▶ For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\omega(A) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda |A|)$.

Main Result

Theorem (X.)

For a d -dimensional O-U process, with probability one (w.r.t. \mathbb{P})

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) ds \right\} = \pm \lambda_{\pm},$$

where λ_+ and λ_- are random variables taking values in $(0, \infty)$.

Remark

- ▶ *exponential moments have e^{ct} growth/decay speed*
- ▶ *however, the rate is highly influenced by the media*

Main Result

Theorem (X.)

For a d -dimensional O-U process, with probability one (w.r.t. \mathbb{P})

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) ds \right\} = \pm \lambda_{\pm},$$

where λ_+ and λ_- are random variables taking values in $(0, \infty)$.

Remark

- ▶ *exponential moments have e^{ct} growth/decay speed*
- ▶ *however, the rate is highly influenced by the media*

Compare to B.M.

- ▶ Positive exponential moment (Carmona, Molchanov '95)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log t}{t \log t} \log \mathbb{E}_0 \exp \left\{ \int_0^t V(B(s)) ds \right\} = c_1.$$

- ▶ Negative exponential moment (Sznitman '93)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log t)^{2/d}}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_0 \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t V(B(s)) ds \right\} = -c_2.$$

Variational formula

The following large deviation result holds P-a.s.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) \, ds \right\} \\ &= - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla f|^2 \mp V(x) f^2(x) \right) \phi(x) \, dx \right\} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-d/2} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{E}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 + (|x|^2 \mp 2V(x)) g^2 \, dx \right\} + \frac{d}{2} \\ & \text{where } \mathcal{E} = \left\{ f(x) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|f\|_{\mu} = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Fact

$$|x|^2 \mp 2V(x) \approx \begin{cases} |x|^2 & \text{for large } x \\ V(x) & \text{for small } x \end{cases}$$

Local behavior of V determines λ_{\pm} .

Variational formula

The following large deviation result holds P-a.s.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) \, ds \right\} \\ &= - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla f|^2 \mp V(x) f^2(x) \right) \phi(x) \, dx \right\} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-d/2} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{E}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 + (|x|^2 \mp 2V(x)) g^2 \, dx \right\} + \frac{d}{2} \\ & \text{where } \mathcal{E} = \left\{ f(x) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|f\|_{\mu} = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Fact

$$|x|^2 \mp 2V(x) \approx \begin{cases} |x|^2 & \text{for large } x \\ V(x) & \text{for small } x \end{cases}$$

Local behavior of V determines λ_{\pm} .

Variational formula

The following large deviation result holds P-a.s.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^t V(X(s)) \, ds \right\} \\ &= - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla f|^2 \mp V(x) f^2(x) \right) \phi(x) \, dx \right\} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-d/2} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{E}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 + (|x|^2 \mp 2V(x)) g^2 \, dx \right\} + \frac{d}{2} \\ & \text{where } \mathcal{E} = \left\{ f(x) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} : f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|f\|_{\mu} = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Fact

$$|x|^2 \mp 2V(x) \approx \begin{cases} |x|^2 & \text{for large } x \\ V(x) & \text{for small } x \end{cases}$$

Local behavior of V determines λ_{\pm} .

Remarks & Future plan

Remark

- ▶ If stationary $|V(x)| \ll |x|^2$, similar LDP result holds.

Future plan

- ▶ $V(\cdot)$ be general stationary potential?
- ▶ Annealed case? i.e. large time behavior of

$$\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \pm \int_0^\infty V(X(s)) ds \right\}$$

- ▶ Non-stationary potential?
- ▶ Tail/small value estimate of λ_\pm .

References

- ▶ Chen, X. *Quenched asymptotics for Brownian motion of renormalized Poisson potential and for the related parabolic Anderson models*. Ann. Probab.(to appear).
- ▶ Chen, X., Rosinski, J. (2011) *Spatial Brownian motion in renormalized Poisson potential: A critical case*. (preprint) .
- ▶ Carmona, R.A., Molchanov, S.A. (1995) *Stationary parabolic Anderson model and intermittency*. Prob. Theory Related Fields .
- ▶ Gartner, J., König, W. and Molchanov, S. A. (2000) *Almost sure asymptotics for the continuous parabolic Anderson model*. Prob. Theory Related Fields **118** 547-573

- ▶ Gartner, J., König, W., *The parabolic Anderson model*, Interacting Stochastic Systems, Edited by J.-D. Deuschel and A. Greven. 153-179, Springer, Berlin, 2005
- ▶ Sznitman, A-L., *Brownian motion in Poissonian potential*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 97, 447-477, 1993
- ▶ Sznitman, A-L. *Brownian motion, obstacles and random media*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998
- ▶ Xing, F. *Almost sure asymptotic for Ornstein -Uhlenbeck processes of Poisson potential*, 2012, (submitted)

Thank You!