

| |
|--|
| Deletions |
| With respect to reappointment, promotion, and tenure processes, there is a lot of information that is overlapping, unclear, contradictory, and confusing. These sections should be revised for clarification. |
| can't really say without a closer review |
| Don't know |
| No opinion |
| No comment |
| don't know |
| probably |
| I can't comment with authority on this question since I have not completed my own review. At one time there was a separate Faculty Services/University Resources document printed that repeated under separate cover many of the faculty services/resources listed in Section 9 of the handbook. Consideration should be given to either deleting the services section or expanding the material to be comprehensive so as not to have yet another document to maintain. |
| none |
| No opinion |
| Everything else... |
| no |
| NA |
| Information that is covered elsewhere. Some faculty don't need all info. so perhaps it becomes a document that has college specific additions and then department, then position additions. |
| No answer. |
| none |
| If I think of any will contact the committee. |
| unfortunately, no |
| In general, the hand book should be streamlined and clear. |
| Probably a lot... |
| nothing that I'm aware of it. |
| Beats me. |
| don't know |
| Not that I can think of. |
| Not clear all the front matter is necessary, but some of it is purely informational not administrative. |
| ????? |
| Don't know |
| No |
| Probably a lot. Faculty credential requirements could be abbreviated; personnel procedures streamlined, some rules and standard operating procedures eliminated, giving discretion to colleges. Some committees could be eliminated. Graduate College could be downsized, with many functions turned over to colleges; |
| NA |
| That's why we have a committee. |
| not that i know of. |
| no |
| I don't think so... |