University Website Redesign (P0087)				
	POINTS	iFactory	USMAN Group	Mind Over Media
Quality and Creativity of Design	20	20	18	18
		Creative, Understand the "Wow" Factor.	Creative	Large Higher Ed Experience
			Will provide 3 possible solutions	All designs look somewhat different.
			Clearly different designs.	Interactive
			(-2)Very little large Institution experience	(-2) Lacked emphasis on importance
				of UAH being a research facility in plan
Interestivity Nevinsties Food of Hea	20	20	20	19
Interactivity, Navigation, Ease of Use	20		-	
		Joomla stressed	Call to action pages	Joomla Experience
		Navigation made sense	Wireframe examples	Navigation Crisp - Admissions Nav.
		Difference in projects - did not look like		Clear
		everyone elses.		
Face of hundress station		Strong emphasis on social media	00	20
Ease of Implementation	20	20	20	20
		Tech Lead oversight for Joomla	Joomla, Usability test plan	
		Full page wireframes with annotations		
Design of Cite on Makile Dietforms	20	20	20	18
Design of Site on Mobile Platforms	20		20	. 5
		Responsive Design	Audience awareness	(-2)Do not do Responsive Design
			Mobile experience with a difference	
Price	10	6	7	5
	<u> </u>	\$ 234,235.00	\$ 193,500.00	\$219,250.00 - \$235,694.00
			+,	Plus \$7000.00 in estimated travel cost
				I las the second in seminated travel second
Conformance to include but are not limited to:	10	10	8	10
adherence to proposal specifications, requirements,			(-2) Statement of Work included which	
terms, conditions and adherance to proposal timeline/			defined new proposal terms and cond.	
milestones.				
Total Points	100	96	93	90

5-15-2013- Proposal awarded to iFactory based off of award criteria.

DATE	BUYER
5/15/2013	KDH

University Website Redesign (P0087)				
	POINTS	STAMATS	FluidNRG	KIC Developers
Quality and Creativity of Design	20	16	18	16
		(-2) No "wow" factor.	(-2) No "Wow" factor	(-2) Weak design, No "Wow" Factor
		(-2) All sites look the same	(-2) Very little Higher Ed. Experience	(-2) Did not like UAH Website Mockup
Interactivity, Navigation, Ease of Use	20	18	15	15
		(-2) All sites work the same	(-5)Proposal lacks information to	(-5) Lackluster information
			support.	
Ease of Implementation	20	20	18	15
	-	(-2) Concerned over size of company	(-2) Just ok	(-5) weak information given to support
		and large staff	( _ / 0 0 0 0 1 1	(c) weak microacting it is to support
Design of Site on Mobile Platforms	20	18	15	15
		(-2) Approach different but proposal	(-5) Proposal lacks information to	(-5) Proposal lacks information to show
		lacks information on specifics	support.	understanding.
Price	10	8	10	9
		\$ 137,800.00	,	\$ 120,550.00
		Includes estimated travel costs to be	Pricing seems to low.	
		billed at actual	Travel costs to TBD	
Conformance to include but are not limited to:	10	10	10	10
adherence to proposal specifications, requirements,				
terms, conditions and adherance to proposal timeline/				
milestones.				
Total Points	100	88	86	80

University Website Redesign (P0087)				
	POINTS	BIG Communications	Nelson Cash	Horton
Quality and Creativity of Design	20	16	18	10
		(-2) No "Wow" or "cool" factor	Great design and client list	(-10) No samples provided
		(-2) State look not very creative	(-2) Proposal does not mention Higher	
			Education experience	
Interactivity, Navigation, Ease of Use	20	15	15	15
		(-5) Word Press Only	(-5) Proposal lacks information to	(-5) Proposal lacks information to
			support.	support.
Ease of Implementation	20	15	15	15
		(-5) Concern over whether they can	(-5) Proposal lack information to	(-5) Proposal lacks information to
		meet the depth of our need and scope.	support.	support.
Design of Site on Mobile Platforms	20	15	16	15
		(-5)Proposal shows weak experience.	(-2) No comprehensive plan mentioned	(-5) Mentioned but proposal does not
			(-2) Did not address social media	include examples
				,
Price	10	3	2	4
		\$ 254,800.00		\$ 250,000.00
		Price does not include photography.	Stressed penalties	
Conformance to include but are not limited to	40	10		
Conformance to include but are not limited to:	10	10	6	6
adherence to proposal specifications, requirements,			(-2) Proposal included new terms and	(-2)No references provided
terms, conditions and adherance to proposal timeline/			conditions	(-2) Proposal signature form not incl.
milestones.			(-2) Examples given but no references	
T (15 ) (1	400	74	70	05
Total Points	100	74	72	65