

Learning Management System (P0095.RV01)

Stage 1: RFP Response to Determine Top 4 Finalists

	Asahi Net	Blackboard	Canvas	Desire2Learn	Longsight	Pearson
Qualifications and References (5 Pts Possible)	3	5	5	5	5	3.5 Disqualified
Function Requirements (60 Points Possible)	46.86	58.44	49.36	53.32	50.94	50.94 due to not
Technical Requirements (20 Points Possible)	14.32	18.68	18.02	17.06	17.06	17.06 meeting
Financial Requirements (15 Points Possible)	14	9	10	7	15	15 RFP requirements
Total:	78.18	91.12	82.38	82.38	86.5	

Stage 2: Finalist Site Visit

Qualifications and References (Based on Meeting with CIO); 5 Points Possible
Functional Requirements (Based on Core Team Meeting, Task Force Meeting, Open Presentation, and Sandbox Environment); 60 Points Possible
Technical Requirements (Based on Technical Meeting); 15 Points Possible
Financial Requirements; 15 Points Possible
Total

2.5	4.5	5	3.5
Business model was based on campus-wide integration, making an exit too painful	Lacks History, Young		Only servicer, no true HE Platform
Would not disclose roadmap or timeline specifics			No control over LMS future
47.25	59.25	55.5	42.75
Geared to other BB Products	ePortfolio Needs Development	Too many clicks in some areas	Fewer available integrations
Lacked some basic functionality	Easy to use for both faculty and students-- implementation and migration should be smooth	Poor utilization of screen real estate	Lacked some basic functionality
Older technology was noticeable		Admin interface was confusing	Difficulty with some basic tasks
Difficulty to integrate required apps		Nice solution with many options	Not intuitive, no GUI for dev.; GUI not aesthetically pleasing
General impression was "underwhelming"		GUI was a bit awkward at times and looked strange	
15	15	13.5	12
		Storing passwords for Google Apps was a security concern	Lacked recognized interface
9	10	7	15
73.75	88.75	81	73.25

4/25/2014: Proposal awarded to Canvas based on Proposal Award Criteria--JIB