

**Electronic Health Record System (P00102)**

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Point and Click</b>	<b>Medicat</b>	<b>PyraMed</b>	<b>Allscripts</b>	<b>Medisys</b>	<b>eClinicalWorks</b>	<b>Fusion</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Demonstrated experience performing the requested services for the institution of similar size.</b> • On-line Demo presentation, • Ease of use • Banner Integration	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	18	16	14	14	14	10
			(-2) Two many steps not ease of use	(-2) two many options on the screen at one time.	(-2) Two many steps not ease of use	(-2) No reference to banner interface.	(-2) would have to create a interface with banner.	(-2) No reference to banner interface.	(-6) Data interface does not link to banner, it uses LinkLogic.
			(-1) On-line demo not as infomative as other vendors		(-2) No reference to banner interface.	(-4) Does not specialize is college health.	(-4) no experience with college health	(-4) no experience with similar size colleges	(-4) Does not specialize is college health.
<b>2</b>	<b>Stages of work, types and amounts of resources, and other elements required to implement the proposed solution • Security Issues (Including FERPA and HIPAA compliance)</b> • Recommendations from current users	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	20	17	17	17	17	17
			(-3) Vendor sent inexperience staff to train while going live. This from a current users		(-3) More complex than other vendors. More click to get to work area.	(-3) Poor recommendations from other colleges	(-3) Poor recommendation s from other colleges	(-3) Poor recommendations from other colleges	(-3) Is more detailed. Poor recommendations from other colleges
<b>3</b>	<b>Implementation/ Training/ continued technical support • Communication and Follow-Up from Vendor • Provide on-going training for new employees and cross training of existing staff? Cost of training? • Cost of training on-line or vendor's facility?</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	20	16	17	18	17	11
			(-1) Training was not long enough.		(-3) Timeline to go live is long.	(-3) Timeline to go live is long and would have to design a integration with banner	(-1) After requesting demo	(-3) Timeline to go live is long.	(-6) Use their software only and does not specialize in college health.
			(-1) Follow-up from vendor not the best.		(-1) Training was not long enough.		(-1) Follow-up from vendor not the best.		(-5) Was lenghty and more complicated.

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Point and Click</b>	<b>Medicat</b>	<b>PyraMed</b>	<b>Allscripts</b>	<b>Medisys</b>	<b>eClinicalWorks</b>	<b>Fusion</b>
4	<b>Price / Cost Effectiveness • Billing (for future use)/Cost</b>	20	20	10	16	18	12	14	8
				(-10) Prices is higher compared to other vendors	(-4) Prices is higher	(-2) Prices is higher	(-8) Prices is higher	(-6) Prices is higher	(-12) Prices is higher than other vendors
	Price for 5 years,training, and installing		\$59,400	\$123,400	\$94,146	\$83,575	\$99,710	\$95,140	\$239,142.10
5	<b>Software Support, Maintenance, Enhancement, and Warranty</b>	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19
	<b>Total Score</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>92</b>	88	85	86	81	81	65

This proposal had been awarded to Point and Click based on award criteria.